

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,278	02/09/2004	Yoshiteru Yasuda	2004_0196A	8575	
513 759	90 08/18/2004		EXAMINER		
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			CHANG, CHING		
2033 K STREE' SUITE 800	T N. W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	N, DC 20006-1021		3748		
			DAME MAIL ED. 00/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,278	YASUDA ET AL.	110
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	V
	Ching Chang	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	ı .		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
	epted or b) objected to by the l		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		2.4.404(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form FTC	J-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National S	tage
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		nd	
oee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the defined dopled flot receive	· · ·	
Attachment(s)			
	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	152)
 B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/06/04</u>. 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-	102)

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

More specifically, both "diamond-like" in claim 8 and "such as "in claim 12 render the claimed subject matter indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. (US Patent 6,032,630) in view of Nakajima et al. (US Patent 5,389,452).

Yamamoto discloses a lash adjuster in a valve gear comprising a nut member (11, 12) provided on a lifter (6) body axially slidably mounted in a transmission path for a valve opening/closing force transmitted from a cam (5) to a valve (3) through a valve stem (3a), an adjuster screw (13) moving axially rotating in said nut member for automatically adjusting a valve clearance, and an elastic member (15) for axially biasing said adjuster screw, wherein female threads of said nut member and male threads formed on the outer periphery of said adjuster screw are serration-shaped such that the flank angle of pressure flanks (18) acted on by axial push-in force applied to said adjuster screw is greater than the flank angle of clearance flanks (19).

Yamamoto discloses the invention as recited above, however, fails to disclose the said screw or nut being made by a material which will not react with organic molybdenum.

The patent to Nakajima on the other hand, demonstrates that it is conventional in the art of aluminum alloy, to utilize the aluminum alloy, a nonferrous metal, coated with a lubricant containing organic molybdenum

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the aluminum alloy as taught by Nakajima to make the said screw and nut in the Yamamoto device, since the use thereof would provide a better friction and wear resistance valve lash adjuster.

5. Claims 3, 5-7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto in view of Nakajima (as applied to claim 1 above), and further in view of Anno et al. (US Patent 5,204,890).

Art Unit: 3748

The modified Yamamoto device, however, fails to disclose an alternative way of using a ceramic film formed on one or both of said adjuster screw and said nut member, or on the pressure side thread surfaces of one or both of them.

The patent to Anno on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the art of ceramic film, to utilize a ceramic film of nitride (Examples 3, 5, 11, 19, and 22), or a carbon film (Examples 5, 7, 9, 12, 15, 17, and 20), or an oxide film (Example 7), or a titanium nitride film formed on a bearing surface (25) of a rotating structure (12).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the ceramic film or the carbon film or the oxide film or the titanium nitride as taught by Anno to the pressure side thread surfaces of one or both of said screw and said nut in the modified Yamamoto device, since the use thereof would provide an durable valve lash adjuster.

6. Claims 4, 9, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto in view of Nakajima (as applied to claim 1 above), and further in view of Mizuno et al. (JP '938).

The modified Yamamoto device, however, fails to disclose an alternative way of plating on one or both of said adjuster screw and said nut member, or on the pressure side thread surfaces of one or both of said screw and nut.

The patent to Mizuno on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the art of plating, to apply a plating film (9) composed of a Ni-P-PTFE plating and a Ni-P plating to at least a ball screw (5) and a nut (5b).

Art Unit: 3748

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the Ni-P plating and the Ni-P-PTFE plating as taught by Mizuno to the pressure side thread surfaces of one or both of said screw and said nut in the modified Yamamoto device, since the use thereof would provide an durable valve lash adjuster.

7. Claims 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto in view of Nakajima (as applied to claim 1 above), and further in view of Christini et al. (US Patent 3,936,577).

The modified Yamamoto device, however, fails to disclose an alternative way of applying Ni-P plating and a hard particle-dispersed film on one or both of said adjuster screw and said nut member, or on the pressure side thread surfaces of one or both of said screw and nut.

The patent to Christini on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the art of particulate diamond deposition, to develop a composite deposition process by using a Ni-P plating with particulate diamond dispersed therein.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have applied the Ni-P plating with dispersed particulate diamond as taught by Christini to the pressure side thread surfaces of one or both of said screw and said nut in the modified Yamamoto device, since the use thereof would provide an durable valve lash adjuster.

Art Unit: 3748

8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto in view of Nakajima (as applied to claim 1 above), and further in view of Lust et al. (US Patent 6,592,356).

The modified Yamamoto device, however, fails to disclose chrome nitride being formed on one or both of said adjuster screw and said nut member, or on the pressure side thread surfaces of one or both of said screw and nut.

The patent to Lust on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the molding art, to utilize the power inserts (24, 25) with a surface layer of chrome nitride.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the surface layer of chrome nitride as taught by Lust to the pressure side thread surfaces of one or both of said screw and said nut in the modified Yamamoto device, since the use thereof would provide an durable valve lash adjuster.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Yamamoto et al. (US Patent 6,729,288).
 - Yamamoto et al. (US Patent 6,109,228).

Art Unit: 3748

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ching Chang whose telephone number is (703)306-3478. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:00 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (703)308-2623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Ming Many
Ching Chang

' THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700